



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

CH

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/684,130	07/19/96	LAND	R 81862.P064

LM02/0315  
LESTER J VINCENT  
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN  
12400 WILSHIRE BLVD 7TH FLOOR  
LOS ANGELES CA 90025

EXAMINER

SAX, S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2773

9

DATE MAILED:

03/15/99

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/684/30

Applicant(s)

Lind et al.

Examiner

Sax

Group Art Unit

2773

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 - MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

## Status

11/14/99

☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.

☒ This action is **FINAL**.

- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☒ Claim(s) 5-18 is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 19-27 is/are rejected.
- ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
- ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
  - ☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1.7.2(a)).

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_

## Attachment(s)

- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 2773

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined.
2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 1-4, and 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Scholl et al (5742762).
4. Regarding claim 1, Scholl shows in Figures 3, 4, 5, column 4 lines 1-65, column 5 lines 20-68, column 6 lines 30-68, column 7 lines 1-47, and column 8 lines 12-68, a network device with multi-layer management interface with: a first interface layer configured to receive a first set of messages from a first set of sources according to a first protocol, send a second set of messages according to a second protocol in response, and send responses to the first set in the first protocol; a second interface layer configured to receive a third set of messages (including second set messages) from a second set of sources (including the first layer) according to the

Art Unit: 2773

second protocol, update configuration data in response to the third set, and send responses to the third set according to second protocol.

5. Regarding claims 2-4, the protocols include HTTP and SNMP. The interface layers include SNMP manager and agent.

6. Regarding claims 19-27, these show the same features as described above. In addition, note that the Hypertext Markup Language document includes information identifying a Management Information Base (MIB) object. The first software layer receives user input that specifies a change to configuration data by detecting when the user selects an interface component associated with the anchor. The messages and data correspond to the MIB. For each entry associated with the MIB object, Hypertext Markup Language text is generated to cause the client to display information contained in the entry. The object identifier is used to search the files generated from a MIB that contains the MIB object. A query is transmitted to the third software layer to retrieve a current value associated with a second MIB which is identified in the entry. The HTTP client displays the second MIB object and current value. An anchor is generated that uniquely identifies the second MIB object. New values are transmitted and updated. arguments is read and used to form a template HTML page and anchor including a command and identifier. The client HTTP message identifies a row in a MIB table. The information and values to determine a type of each MIB variable is read and requested and displayed.

Art Unit: 2773

7. Claims 5-18 are allowable over the prior art of record. These bring out the three distinct software layers and specific techniques of embedding information and communicating between the clients and servers.

8. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Scholl does show the multilayer management interface configured for different protocols in the aforecited passages. The comments of the International Preliminary Search Examination are taken into account in further examination and in light of applicants' response, and the three layer software system, as brought out in the claims 5-18, have the rejection removed.

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2773

10 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Steve Sax, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9582. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F from 9:30 - 6:00 ET. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim, can be reached at (703) 305-3821.

11. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Steve Sax' or similar, written in a cursive style.